

WASCO COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS SPECIAL SESSION May 3, 2012

PRESENT: Sherry Holliday, Chair of County Commission

Scott C. Hege, County Commissioner Rod L. Runyon, County Commissioner Tyler Stone, Administrative Officer Kathy White, Executive Assistant

At 3 p.m. Chair Runyon called to order the Special Session of the Board of Commissioners.

Chair Runyon asked if there were any corrections or additions to today's Agenda. There were none. He asked Tyler Stone, County Administrative Officer, if he had anything to bring forward. He did not.

Commissioner Runyon asked for public comment; there was none.

Department Heads

Fred Davis, Facilities Manager, presented an estimate from Aset for the panic alarm system, which is in urgent need of repair/replacement. He reminded the Board that they had previously approved a contract exception, deeming it an emergency. Aset is local and already familiar with our needs. In a recent meeting with Dan Woolen, Application Engineer for Aset, along with Sheriff Eiesland, Mr. Davis and Mr. Stone, estimate details were settled. Beyond the Aset estimate there will be additional costs for electrical work, but the project is still expected to come in under budget. He asked that the board accept the estimate and approve the work to begin.

Mr. Stone interjected that Mr. Davis would need approval of the contract and contingencies. Mr. Davis projected that \$25,000.00 would provide a \$4,000.00 cushion. Mr. Stone recommended increasing the estimated amount by \$5,000.00 as a contingency.

{{{Commissioner Holliday moved that the work estimate be approved up to \$26,635.00. Commissioner Hege seconded the motion which passed unanimously.}}}

<u>Chief Deputy Sheriff Lane Magill</u> brought forward a three-year renewal for the Frontier Telenet repeater site located in Jefferson County. No language has changed from the original contract.

{{{Commissioner Holliday moved to approve the Frontier Telenet Network Co-location Agreement. Commissioner Hege seconded the motion which passed unanimously.}}}

Molly Rogers, Director for Youth Services, presented the Safe Schools Healthy Students grant event for trauma based care. The Core Management Team has been working with the Sanctuary Model for trauma informed care; representatives from New York will be here next week for training and will be meeting with The Dalles Police, Youth Services, local schools and Mid-Columbia Center for Living. The thrust of the model is to help staff understand community trauma and how it relates to current behavior in order to provide more effective services. She invited the Board to attend.

Sheriff Rick Eiesland explained that his agreement with Mid-Columbia Fire and Rescue for prisoner's medical transport has been \$350.00 per year and half-price per transport (\$550.00). Since both entities are run on tax revenue, it seemed appropriate to try to keep costs to a minimum. Generally, there are seven or eight such transports each year. The fire chief has become dissatisfied with the arrangement. Sheriff Eiesland pointed out that the Sheriff's Department provides support services to the Fire District at no cost. He asked that the Board consider the possibility of going out for bids to replace Mid-Columbia Fire and Rescue as Northern Wasco County's ambulance service provider.

Chair Runyon asked if Sheriff Eiesland had spoken with the Fire District Board or just to the Chief. Sheriff Eiesland replied that he has not been able to meet with the Chief and has only gotten emails from him regarding the rise in rates. Chair Runyon suggested a meeting with the two entities and Mr. Stone to try to work toward a compromise. Sheriff Eiesland interjected that it may not be worth the \$3,000.00 to \$4,000.00 a year to rock the boat.

Commissioner Hege asked Commissioner Holliday if she thought other businesses would respond to bid offering. Commissioner Holliday responded that AMR out of Portland has been looking for an opening here for a long time and perhaps an ambulance service from Washington would be interested. We have never really gone out for bids in the past as we wanted to keep it local. Commissioner Hege offered to act as a liaison with Mid-Columbia Fire and

Rescue to open a dialog. Sheriff Eiesland and the rest of the Board supported that idea.

<u>Tim Lynn, County Assessor</u>, brought forward information for Resolution 12-006 for the 2012-2013 Property Tax Program Grant. The paper work came due prior to this session and with approval of counsel, Chair Runyon had signed the grant submission documents in order to meet the deadline. This resolution formalizes that submission. This is a routine, annual grant. Chair Runyon asked if there were any substantial changes to the document. Mr. Lynn responded that it is virtually the same and that the dollar amounts are similar to last year's.

{{{Commissioner Holliday moved to approve Resolution 12-006. Commissioner Hege seconded the motion which passed unanimously.}}}

<u>Dan Boldt, County Surveyor,</u> reminded the Board of his request several weeks ago to bring an intern on staff – Sherzod Rakhmanov from Uzbekistan. One of the terms of the agreement was that he be paid minimum wage and end his stay with the conclusion of the fiscal year. In the weeks that he has been with them, they have discovered that he is extremely valuable. In addition, he has incurred costs in order to remain in this country and has asked if they might pay him more than minimum wage. Mr. Boldt recommended that Mr. Rakhmanov's wage be increased from Oregon mimimum (\$8.80 per hour) to that of an Engineering Aid (\$13.05 per hour).

Commissioner Holliday asked if Mr. Rakhmanov would be staying through the end of the calendar year. Mr. Boldt replied that is their goal and they would be bringing that to the Board during their scheduled appearance next week.

The Board was in consensus for the raise in pay for Mr. Rakhmanov as a temporary, seasonal employee.

Mr. Boldt asked that the Board present Mr. Rakhmanov with the raise at the next Board session. They agreed.

Agenda Item – Waiving Tipping Fees for Community Clean-up Day

Cindy Keever, Administrative Secretary for The City of The Dalles, explained that she had submitted a letter to the Board requesting that they waive the tipping fees for Community Clean-up Day which is in its 25th year.

Chair Runyon explained that he had done some research and discovered that the fee is really not the County's to waive. Although the County owns the land, it is leased to Waste Connections and the County gets a licensing fee as well as a

host fee for out-of-area waste.

Glenn Pierce, Environmental Health Specialist Supervisor, explained that Waste Connections has been very generous in waiving their tipping fee for this event and they are really the entity to petition for the waiver.

Nancy Mitchell, Wasco County Landfill Manager, explained that there is a household hazardous waste fee of \$7.29 per ton. Mr. Pierce added that that surcharge is shared by the cities party to an intergovernmental agreement. The City of The Dalles could possibly waive their portion of that fee, but it would be difficult to do. Waste Connections, however, could waive their tipping fees and have historically done so for the Community Clean-up Day.

Ms. Mitchell explained that they have gotten a letter from the County for many years stating that their fees would be waived for this event. However, since they derive no fees, the letter was just filed. Waste Connections has always waived their tipping fees for the Community Clean-up. It was decided that for this year and going forward, the Community Clean-up request for a tipping fee waiver should be made directly to Waste Connections.

Consent Agenda – CAFFA Grant Resolution #12-006 (previously approved), Order #12-0026 Setting Hearing Date for Disposition of Dog, Moccasin

{{{Commissioner Hege moved to approve the Consent Agenda. Commissioner Holliday seconded the motion which passed unanimously.}}}

Discussion List Item - Minutes Format

Kathy White explained that there had been some question regarding the amount of detail to be included in the minutes. She had produced two sets of minutes for the April 4, 2012, session – one had detail, the second was a more summarized version. She asked the Board to provide some direction for her regarding the production of minutes.

Commissioner Hege expressed his opinion that hearings should be done in more detail than other discussions before the Board; while some of the extraneous chit chat that occurs in a regular session would not be necessary to report in the minutes. Commissioner Holliday wants to make sure that the gist of the discussion is reflected in the minutes. Chair Runyon wanted to make sure that when questions were asked and answered, the minutes clearly identified the questioner and the responder. Ms. White said that she would continue to produce

minutes with those comments in mind and that working with the Board's feedback over time, hope to reach a happy medium. In a discussion that . followed, the Board agreed that whenever possible it would be helpful to receive the minutes prior to receiving the packet; that would allow the Board to review and provide feedback.

Some discussion ensued regarding the publication of amended Board Packets. A final determination was made that the packet date should be at the beginning of the file name rather than at the end so as to make identification easier.

Discussion List - CCO Voting Powers

Tyler Stone related the portion of the last CCO meeting that related to who would have a vote. During that meeting, those present were asked for their opinion on the voting subject. Mr. Stone, as a representative of the Wasco County Board of Commissioners, had replied that he would need to consult with the Board before committing to a position.

Mr. Stone then read a part of the minutes from that meeting: Agreement reached that each entity would do best efforts to have consistency in attendance given the fast pace we are on. In addition each entity would have one vote regardless of whether one, two or three reps might be from that entity. Discussion was brought forward on the current difference Public Health and Center for Living voting models. Both provide health care services to Medicaid patients. One does physical the other handles behavioral. Two suggestions: either create one voting entity for Public Health which covers all counties, this would match how CFL is currently; or put CFL in with the counties; this would match how Public Health is now. Agreement was reached that each entity has one vote. Still need to resolve the balance between entities and the population and/or quantity of services each entity is accountable for.

Tyler then framed the discussion with a question – How do you want our local votes to be represented? Do you want to relinquish your vote to Public Health? Do you want them separate? Do you want Public Health and CFL to have a combined vote?

Commissioner Holliday pointed out that in just a year, Public Health will be their own entity. In that case, there would be no question – their vote would be separate from ours.

Commissioner Hege added that Center for Living is already separate. Each of the three is governed by a board. The representative of each board should be

expressing the positions of their board, rather than their own personal opinion, at the CCO meetings. The Wasco County representative to the CCO is Mr. Stone. The question here - does this Board feel that Public Health and CFL should have their own votes or that their voice should be heard through our Board? It was decided that BOCC is one voice on this matter; other counties will also have an opinion. Although BOCC members hold positions on the boards for both Public Health and Center for Living, they have only one vote on those boards where majority rules. Those entities are controlled by boards not by individual people. There are loosely affiliated groups of people, i.e., physicians, who want a vote; some would like to have individual votes but it becomes too complicated to be practical. Those individuals are free to be heard by the BOCC to get their opinions into the record.

The consensus of the Board is that Center for Living and Public Health have representatives from multiple counties serving on their boards and should have separate votes. Wasco County should also maintain their autonomy in the voting process.

Discussion Item - Amendment 7 for OHA Contract 135575

The Board discussed briefly any changes made by the amendment. Commissioner Hege expressed concern that it may obligate the County to make a match from the General Fund. It was determined that although the amendment was for more funding, it did not require anything additional from the County.

{{{Commissioner Hege moved to approve Amendment 7 for OHA Contract 135575. Commissioner Holliday seconded the motion which passed unanimously.}}}

Discussion Item - Shared Revenue on Public Lands

Commissioner Holliday expressed her opinion that if wind towers were to be erected on BLM land within the County, the County should share in that revenue. Commissioner Hege commented that he believes if a private company builds on public land they would still be obligated for property taxes. He believes that fact makes the letter unnecessary. He would like to talk to the County Assessor about the process, before signing the letter. Mr. Stone agreed to speak to the County Assessor regarding this issue.

***It was the consensus of the Board that if structures are built on Federal Land within the County and taxes are not being paid to the County for that, the Board is in support of the letter. Until that can be determined, the Board chose to take no action on the letter. ***

The meeting recessed 4:45 p.m.

Executive Session convened at 5:21 p.m.

Special Session reconvened at 6:20 p.m.

{{{Commissioner Hege moved to decline moving into binding arbitration with Hood River regarding the NORCOR issue. Chair Runyon seconded the motion which passed unanimously.}}}

The consensus of the Board is Mr. Stone may go forward with his scheduled meeting with the Hood River County Administrator, David Meriweather; however, if Hood River County Board members will be present, the meeting should be rescheduled to include representatives from all four NORCOR counties.

The consensus of the Board is Mr. Nisley will draft a position letter for the Board's signature to be provided to Commissioner Holliday to be used if necessary at the upcoming NORCOR Board meeting.

Commissioner Holliday sent an email saying "At the meeting of 5.3.2012, the Wasco County Board of County Commissioners voted at this time not to enter into arbitration."

Session adjourned at 6:30 p.m.

WASCO COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

Rod L. Runyon, Chair of Commission

Sherry Holliday, County Commissioner

Scott Hege, County Commissioner

WASCO COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS SPECIAL SESSION / AGENDA Thursday May 3, 2012 LOCATION: Wasco County Courthouse, County Courtroom #202 511 Washington Street, The Dalles, Oregon

<u>Public Comment</u>: Individuals wishing to address the Commission on items <u>not already listed on the Agenda</u> may do so from 3:00 p.m. - 3:30 p.m. To speak at other times please wait for the current speaker to conclude. Raise your hand to be recognized by the Chair for direction. Speakers are required to give their name and address. Please limit comments to three minutes, unless extended by the Chair.

<u>Departments:</u> Are encouraged to have their issue added to the Agenda in advance. When that is not possible the Commission will attempt to make time to fit you in from 3:00 p.m. - 3:30 p.m. or between listed Agenda items.

<u>NOTE:</u> This Agenda is subject to last minute changes. <u>Meetings are ADA accessible</u>. For special accommodations please contact the Commission Office in advance, (541) 506-2520. TDD 1-800-735-2900. Wasco County does not discriminate against individuals with disabilities.

3:00 p.m.

CALL TO ORDER

"Items below, without a specific time slot may be rearranged in order to make the best possible use of available time"

- Corrections or Additions to the Agenda
- Administrative Officer Tyler Stone: Comments
- <u>Discussion Items</u> (Items of general Commission discussion, not otherwise listed on the Agenda)
- <u>Consent Agenda</u> (Items of a routine nature: minutes, documents to complete or that have been previously discussed, etc. Removal is also allowed in order to be discussed or voted on.

3:30 p.m.

<u>City of The Dalles</u> – request to waive fees from Wasco County for the tipping charge at the Wasco County Landfill during Community Clean Up, May 5, 2012

<u>Executive Session</u> – pursuant to ORS 192.660(h) consultation with legal counsel regarding pending litigation

NEW / OLD BUSINESS COMMISSION CALL / REPORTS ADJOURN

WASCO COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS Special SESSION May 3, 2012

DISCUSSION LIST

ACTION AND DISCUSSION ITEMS:

- 1. Shared Revenue on Public Lands
- 2. Amendment 7 for OHA Contract 135575
- 3. <u>Minutes Format Detailed or Summarized?</u>
- 4. CCO Voting Powers

ON HOLD:

- 1. Wasco County website improvement
- 2. Admin move

Discussion Item Shared Revenue on Public Lands

- NACO Letter
- Renewable Resource Letter



November 1, 2011

The Honorable Jon Tester United States Senate 724 Hart Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510 The Honorable James E. Risch United States Senate 483 Russell Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Tester and Senator Risch:

On behalf of the National Association of Counties (NACo), I am writing to express our support of the Public Land Renewable Energy Act (S. 1775). This landmark legislation would extend royalties and lease income from solar and wind projects developed on Federal lands to states and counties. In addition, S. 1775 would continue the 25% county revenue sharing agreement for geothermal development on federal lands, provided by the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (42 U.S.C 15873(a)).

Similar to existing revenue sharing models for energy development, the Act would share revenues with states and counties, while providing reinvestment in BLM renewable energy programs and sharing critical funds to sustain wildlife and recreational uses of nearby land. Revenues sharing arrangements with local governments are needed to support county operations impacted by local energy development and production.

Hundreds of counties nationwide have Federal lands within their boundaries that have been developed or are suitable for alternative energy development. Counties have historically been indispensible advocates for the development of alternative energy production in the United States. Future revenue sharing dollars will contribute to the delivery of critical governmental services and the development of much needed capital improvement projects such as road maintenance, public safety and law enforcement, conservation easements, capital for leveraging federal and state resources, and the critical stabilization of operations budgets in tough economic times.

As this nation moves closer to securing a balanced domestic energy portfolio, state and local governments are committed to working with the Federal government as equal partners in the promotion of alternative energy development. The expansion of green energy industries will lead to the creation of high paying jobs and sustainable economic development.

Again, NACo applauds the introduction of the Public Land Renewable Energy Act of 2011 and respectfully ask for swift passage of this landmark legislation.

Larry E. Naake

Executive Director

National Association of Counties

Hon. Ron Wyden 221 Dirksen Office Building Washington, DC 20510

Hon. Greg Walden 2182 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, DC 20515 Hon. Jeff Merkeley 313 Hart Office Building Washington, DC 20510

Dear Senators and Congressman,

I am writing to urge you to ensure income collected by the federal government from solar and wind projects developed on Federal lands is returned in part to states and counties. This agreement is already in place for geothermal development on federal lands.

Some of the best solar and wind resources are found Western states, and my county recognizes that nation's public lands will play a role in the transition to a clean energy future. Because commercial production of renewable energy is an intensive operation on public lands often relied on for other uses, such as hunting and fishing, it will be important that the impacts on the environment and surrounding communities be addressed. Sensitive places should be avoided, and unavoidable impacts on other lands should be minimized where it's possible and offset where it's not.

Sharing federal energy revenues with states and counties is a time-honored tradition, as is sharing critical funds to sustain wildlife and recreational uses of nearby land. This is because revenue sharing arrangements with local governments are needed to support county operations impacted by local energy development and production.

Hundreds of counties nationwide have Federal lands within their boundaries that have been developed or are suitable for alternative energy development. Future revenue sharing dollars will contribute to the delivery of critical governmental services such as public safety and law enforcement, conservation easements, capital for leveraging federal and state resources, the critical stabilization of operations budgets in tough economic times and the development of much needed capital improvement projects such as road maintenance.

It's easy to understand the concerns such new development has raised. We are well aware of the boom-and-bust cycle of energy development. And if projects are built in the wrong places or in the wrong ways, we could lose popular hunting or fishing spots and our limited and precious water supply could be threatened. Any new development will change our

surroundings, and some of the rugged character of our county could be altered. It is important that impacts on human and natural communities be addressed.

As this nation moves closer to securing a balanced domestic energy portfolio, state and local governments are committed to working with the Federal government as equal partners in the promotion of alternative energy development. The expansion of energy industries will lead to the creation of high paying jobs and sustainable economic development.

Please help me make sure my County can bear the costs that come with these benefits.

Sincerely,

Discussion Item Amendment 7 for OHA Contract 135575

• Amendment 7

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, this document is available in alternate formats such as Braille, large print, audiotape, oral presentation and electronic format. To request an alternate format, please send an e-mail to *dhsalt@state.or.us* or contact the Office of Document Management at 503-378-3486, and TTY at 503-378-3523

Agreement #135575

SEVENTH AMENDMENT TO OREGON HEALTH AUTHORITY 2011-2013 INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT FOR THE FINANCING OF PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICES

This Seventh Amendment to Oregon Health Authority 2011-2013 Intergovernmental Agreement for the Financing of Public Health Services, effective July 1, 2011 (as amended the "Agreement"), is between the State of Oregon acting by and through its Oregon Health Authority ("OHA") and Gilliam, Wasco, and Sherman Counties, acting by and through the North Central Public Health District ("LPHA"), the entity designated, pursuant to ORS 431.375(2), as the Local Public Health Authority for Gilliam, Wasco, and Sherman Counties.

RECITALS

WHEREAS, the Department and LPHA wish to modify the Financial Assistance Award set forth in Exhibit C of the Agreement and...

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the premises, covenants and agreements contained herein and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, the parties hereto agree as follows

AGREEMENT

- 1. Section 1 of Exhibit C entitled "Financial Assistance Award" of the Agreement is hereby superseded and replaced in its entirety by Exhibit 1 attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference. Exhibit 1 must be read in conjunction with Section 4 of Exhibit C, entitled "Explanation of Financial Assistance Award" of the Agreement.
- 2. LPHA represents and warrants to OHA that the representations and warranties of LPHA set forth in Section 2 of Exhibit E of the Agreement are true and correct on the date hereof with the same effect as if made on the date hereof.
- 3. Capitalized words and phrases used but not defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed thereto in the Agreement.
- 4. Except as amended hereby, all terms and conditions of the Agreement remain in full force and effect.
- 5. This Amendment may be executed in any number of counterparts, all of which when taken together shall constitute one agreement binding on all parties, notwithstanding that all parties

are not signatories to the same counterpart. Each copy of this Amendment so executed shall constitute an original.

6. This Amendment becomes effective on the date of the last signature below.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this Amendment as of the dates set forth below their respective signatures.

APPROVED:

STATE C	OF OREGON ACTING BY AND THROUGH ITS OREGON HEALTH AUTHORITY (OHA)
By: Name: Title: Date:	Jean O'Connor, JD, DrPH Deputy Public Health Director
	1, Wasco, and Sherman Counties acting by and through the North L Public Health District (LPHA)
By: Name: Title: Date:	
Approve	MENT OF JUSTICE – APPROVED FOR LEGAL SUFFICIENCY d by D. Kevin Carlson, Senior Assistant Attorney General on October 14, 2011. Copy val on file at OHA, OC&P.
	OF CONTRACTS & PROCUREMENT (OCP)
By: Name: Title: Date:	Phillip G. McCoy, OPBC Contract Specialist

EXHIBIT 1 FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE AWARD

Oregon	te of Oregon Health Authori Health Division			Page 1 of 2
1) Grantee Name: North Central Public Health District	2) Issue	2) Issue Date March 19, 2012		ı ENT
Street: 419 E. 7th Street, Room 100 City: The Dalles State: OR Zip Code: 97058-2676	3) Award From Ju	d Period lly 1, 2011 Thr	FY2012 ough June 30	
4) DHS Public Health Funds Approved Program	I	Previous Award	Increase/ (Decrease)	Grant Award
PE 01 State Support for Public Health		32,389	0	32,389
PE 01 Offer to Restructure Mini Grant		12,500	24,500	37,000 (g,m)
PE 03 TB Case Management		574	0	574
Ryan White Title II HIV / AIDS Services				
PE 08 Ryan White-Case Management		0	0	0
PE 08 Ryan White-Support Services	to the state of th	0	0	0
PE 11 Climate Change and Public Health		20,000	0	20,000
PE 12 Pub. Health Emergency Preparedness/(Ju	ly-Aug. 9)	18,718	0	18,718 (b)
PE 12 Pub. Health Emergency Preparedness/(Au	ıg 10-June30)	128,802	0	128,802 (k)
PE 13 Tobacco Prevention & Education		93,606	0	93,606
PE 40 Women, Infants and Children FAMILY HEALTH SERVICES		169,918	0	169,918 cdfhi
PE 40 WIC Breast Feeding Performance Bonus FAMILY HEALTH SERVICES	s Grant	5,000	0	5,000 (j)
 5) FOOTNOTES: a) Funds will not be shifted between categories by more than one fund type, however, federa funds (such as Medicaid). b) July 1 - August 9th awards must be spent by 	Il funds may not	be used as m	atch for other	r federal
 c) July-September grant is \$44,800 and include \$1,906 for Breastfeeding Promotion. d) October through June grant is \$125,401 and and \$5,719 for Breastfeeding Promotion. 	es \$8,360 of mi I includes \$25,0	nimum Nutrition	onal Educatio m Nutritional	n and Education
 e) \$63,661 is the total Family Planning grant; f) \$1,207 represents the adjustment to the initial rate per participant increases by three perceng; g) Funding is to be used to complete the activity Offer to Restructure. 	al SFY2012 graint compared to lities described in	nt contract so SFY2011. a counties app	that the total I	base
6) Capital Outlay Requested in This Action: Prior approval is required for Capital Outlay. Of ment with a purchase price in excess of \$5,00				
PROGRAM ITEM DESCRIPTION			COST	APPROV

State of Oregon Page 2 of 2 **Oregon Health Authority Public Health Division** 1) Grantee This Action 2) Issue Date Name: North Central Public Health District March 19, 2012 **AMENDMENT** FY2012 419 E. 7th Street, Room 100 3) Award Period City: The Dalles From July 1, 2011 Through June 30, 2012 State: OR Zip Code: 97058-2676

4) DHS Public Health Funds Approved

4) DH3 Public Health Funds Approved			
	Previous	Increase/	Grant
Program	Award	(Decrease)	Award
PE 41 Family Planning Agency Grant	63,661	0	63,661
FAMILY HEALTH SERVICES	·		(e,l)
PE 42 MCH/Child & Adolescent Health General Fund	8,796	. 0	8,796
FAMILY HEALTH SERVICES			(a)
PE 42 MCH-TitleV Child & Adolescent Health	12,985	0	12,985
FAMILY HEALTH SERVICES			(a)
PE 42 MCH-TitleV Flexible Funds	30,298	0	30,298
FAMILY HEALTH SERVICES			(a)
PE 42 MCH/Perinatal Health General Fund	4,687	0	4,687
FAMILY HEALTH SERVICES			(a)
PE 42 Babies First	14,841	. 0	14,841
FAMILY HEALTH SERVICES			
PE 42 Oregon MothersCare	7,556	0	7,556
FAMILY HEALTH SERVICES			
PE 43 Immunization Special Payments	17,760	0	17,760
FAMILY HEALTH SERVICES			
TOTAL	642,091	24,500	666,591

5) FOOTNOTES:

- h) \$2,204 represents one-time year-end funding to local agencies to be spent by 9/30/2011. The rate of the funding is \$2.00 / assigned caseload.
- i) \$513 represents travel expense reimbursement to local agencies for Advance Breastfeeding Training in Portland on July 25th to 27th, 2011.
- j) \$5,000 is one-time funding to local agencies from the Breastfeeding Performance Bonus Grant.
- k) \$3,801 in additional funding to support base work described in PE 12.
- I) Base Grant Increase is \$3,227; High Cost Contraceptives increase is \$1,362; Chlamydia increase is \$641.
- m) \$24,500 additional funding per updated Accreditation & Restructure work plans and budgets.

6) Capital Outlay Requested in This Action:

Prior approval is required for Capital Outlay. Capital Outlay is defined as an expenditure for equipment with a purchase price in excess of \$5,000 and a life expectancy greater than one year.

PROGRAM	ITEM DESCRIPTION	COST	APPROV
		_	

NO DOCUMENTS FOR THIS DISCUSSION ITEM

• Back to Agenda

WASCO COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS SPECIAL SESSION MAY 3, 2012

CONSENT AGENDA

- 1. CAFFA Grant Resolution #12-006
- 2. Order #12-0026 Hearing for Disposition of Dog

Consent Agenda Item CAFFA Grant Resolution #12-006

- CAFFA Grant Application
- CAFFA Grant Resolution





Form 1 Grant Application Staffing

County Wasco	COLUMN 1 Approved FTE Current Year (2011-12)	COLUMN 2 Budgeted FTE Coming Year (2012-13)	COLUMN 3 Change (Column 2 less Column 1)
A. Assessment Administration			
Assessor, Deputy, etc	0.71	0.77	0.06
Assmt. Support Staff, Deed Clerks, & Data Entry Staff	2.94	2.46	(0.48)
Total Assessment Administration	3.65	3,23	(0.42)
B. Valuation-Appraisal Staff			
Chief Appraisers/Appraiser Supervisor	0.99	0.86	(0.13)
Lead Appraisers	0.00	0.00	0.00
Residential Appraisers	0.86	0.78	(80.08)
Commercial/Industrial Appraisers	0.40	0.12	(0.28)
Farm/Forest/Rural Appraisers	0.59	0.69	0.10
Manufactured Structure/Floating Structure Appraisers	0.07	0.07	0.00
Personal Property Appraisers	0.37	0.38	0.01
Personal Property Clerks	0.24	0.26	0.02
Sales Data Analyst	0.15	. 0.13	(0.02)
Data Gatherers & Appraisal Techs	0.00	0.79	0.79
Total Valuation-Appraisal Staff	3.67	4.08	0.41
C. Clerk/BOPTA Staff	0.08	0.08	0.00
D. Tax Collection & Distribution Administration			
Administration, Deputy, etc.	0.38	0.29	(0.09)
Support & Collection Staff	1.23	1.33	0.10
Tax Distribution	0.57	0.57	0.00
Foreclosure & Garnishment	0.05	0.04	(0.01)
Total Tax Collection & Distribution Staff	2.23	2,23	0.00
E. Cartography & GIS Administration			
Cartographic/GIS Supervisor	0.50	0.50	0.00
Lead Cartographer	0.00	0.00	0.00
Cartographers	0.00	0.00	0.00
GIS Specialist	0.30	0.30	0.00
Total Cartographic & GIS Staff	0.80	0.80	0.00
F. A&T Data Processing Staff	0.50	0.50	0.00
G. Total A&T Staffing (the sum of A-F above)	10.93	10.92	(0.01)



OREGON
DEPARTMENT
OF REVENUE

Form 2 Explanation of Staffing Issues

County Wasco
In this section, explain any difference between approved staffing for the current year and staffing for the budgeted year. Explain why any funded positions were unfilled for the current year. Use this form to describe the intended use of nonpermanent workers (temporary help, project temporaries, and contractors) by A&T function, along with their cost. Note any special or unique aspects regarding who accomplishes the work and how they accomplish it related to Forms 4, 5, and 6. For example, if you use staff to perform personal property functions, other than reported on Form 1, Section B, note that here and include the FTE.
N/A

	N/A
	,
L	





Form 3 General Comments

·
County Wasco
Use this form to describe any issue in your budget that needs further clarification. Examples would be significant changes on Form 7, purchase of a new data processing system, salary increases, new car purchases, personal services costs for mapping, etc. You may also use this form to document any miscellaneous comments.
Purchase and installation of the Manatron A&T software and data conversion will continue over the 2012-13 budget year. The purchase contract balance of \$66,734 is in the budget to make the final payment when the project reaches the Ascend go-live target date tentatively scheduled for June, 1, 2013.





Form 4 Valuation–Appraisal Resources

County Wasco		f Accounts		r of FTE ctivity
Activities	Actual 2011-12	Estimated 2012-13	Actual 2011-12	Estimated 2012-13
Real Property Exceptions, Special Assessments, and Exemptions				
New Construction	1,018	1,100	1.14	1.13
Zone Changes	0	0	0.00	0.00
Subdivisions, Segregations, Consolidations	123	84	0.00	0.00
Omitted Properties	18	18	0.09	0.09
Special Assessment Qualification and Disqualification	68	68	0.14	0.13
Exemptions	24	25	0.01	0.02
Subtotal	1,251	1,295	1.38	1.37
	•			
2. Appeals and Assessor Review	201	204	0.44	0.00
Assessor Review and Stipulations	321	321	0.14	0.08
BOPTA	37	32	0.07	0.14
Department of Revenue	4		0.00	0.00
Magistrate Division—Tax Court	2	2	0.05	0.03
Regular Division—Tax Court	0	0	0.00	0.00
Subtotal	364	355	0.26	0.25
3. Real Property Valuation				
Physical Reappraisal	0	0	0.06	0.01
Recalculation only—no appraisal review	0	0	0.00	0.00
Subtotal	0	0	0.06	0.01
	1,451	1,500	0.60	0.64
4. Business Personal Property (returns mailed)	1,451	1,500	0.60	0.04
5. Ratio		*****	0.13	0.13
6. Continuing Education			0.10	0.11
7. Other Valuation—Appraisal Activity			1.51	1.57
8. Total Valuation—Appraisal Staff (FTE)			4.04	4.08

Form 4 line 8 should match Form 1, section B. If these figures do not match, use Form 2 or 3 to explain why.





Form 5 Tax Collection/Distribution Work Activity

County Wasco	Number of by Act	
	Actual 2011-12	Estimated 20.42-13
Number of accounts requiring roll corrections Business Personal Property Personal Property Manufactured Structures	<u>8</u> 17	10
Real Property	110	120
Number of accounts requiring a refund Business Personal Property	5	10
Personal Property Manufactured Structures	52	<u>5</u>
Number of delinquent tax notices sent Business Personal Property Personal Property Manufactured Structures	68 403	80
Real Property	2181	2250
Number of foreclosure accounts processed Real Property only	153	150
5. Number of accounts issued redemption notices Real Property only	6	8
6. Number of warrants	68	70
7. Number of garnishments	0	2
8. Number of seizures	0	. 0
9. Number of bankruptcies	107	110
10. Number of accounts with an address change processed	0	0
11. How many second trimester statements do you mail?	3202	
12. How many third trimester statements do you mail?	2558	
13. Does the county contract for lock box service?	Yes No	
14.Does the county use in-house remittance processing?	Yes No	سب

15. If tax collector is combined with another county function, please describe that function. Assessor and Tax Collector are combined, item 10 was determined to be an assessment function included in deed transactions.



Form 6 Assessment and Administrative Support and Cartography Work Activity

2012-13

County Wasco

Assessment and Administrative Support Work Activity

Numbers	by Activity
Actual	Estimated
2011-12	2012-13
1065	1100

1. Number of Deeds Worked

Cartography Work Activity			
Numbers by Ac	Numbers by Activity		
	stimated 012-13		
Number of new tax lots	0		
Number of lot line adjustments	0		
Number of consolidations	0		
Number of new maps	0		
Number of tax code boundary changes	0		
Number of new tax lots	otimatec 012-13 0 0		



Form 7 Summary of Expenses

County Wasco

Current Operating Expenses	A. Assessment Administration	B. Valuation	C. BOPTA	D. Tax Collection & Distribution	E. Cartography*	F. A&T Data Processing	TOTALS
1. Personal Services	\$245,159	\$293,012	\$2,922	\$197,339	\$59,837	\$33,918	\$832,187
2. Materials & Services	\$9,616	\$8,961	\$750	\$22,883	\$17,217	\$72,443	\$131,870
3. Transportation	\$2,220	\$6,660	0	0	0	0	\$8,880
Total Current Operating Expenses (Total Direct Expenses)	\$256,995	\$308,633	\$3,672	\$220,222	\$77,054	\$106,361	\$972,937

* Include ORMAP-approved grant funding

Indirect	Expenses
----------	----------

F. Total Direct Evacage /line 4)	\$972,937
5. Total Direct Expenses (line 4)	4012,001
6. If you use the 5 percent method to calculate your indirect expenses, enter .05 in this box	0.05000
Total Indirect Expenses (line 5 × line 6)	\$48,647
6A. If you use a percent amount approved by a federal granting agency to calculate your indirect expenses,	
enter that percentage in this box	0.00000
Total Indirect Expenses (line 6A × the direct expense amount for the category/categories that your certificate allows)	\$0
7. Total Indirect Expenses	\$48,647

Capital Outlay		Assessment Administration	Valuation	ворта	Tax Collection & Distribution	Cartography	A&T Data Processing	Total Capital Outlay Without Regard to Limitation
8.	Enter the actual capital outlay without regard to limitation.	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0
9.	9. Total direct and indirect expenses (line 4 + line 7)						\$1,021,584	
10.	10. Direct and indirect expenses × 0.06						\$61,295	
11.	11. The greater of line 10 or \$50,000					\$61,295		
12.	12. Capital outlay (the lesser of line 8 or line 11)					\$0		
13.	13. Total expenditures for CAFFA consideration (line 4 + line 7 + line 12)					\$1,021,584		

Grant Application Resolution

Wasco	County is applying to the Department of Revenue in order				
to participate in the Assessmen	t and Taxation grant.				
This state grant provides fundi	ng for counties to help them com	ne into compliance or remain in com-			
pliance with ORS 308.232, 308.2	234, Chapters 309, 310, 311, 312, a	and other laws requiring equity and			
uniformity in the system of pro	perty taxation.				
Wasco	County has undertaken	a self-assessment of its compliance			
with the laws and rules that go	vern the Oregon property tax sy	stem. County is generally in compli-			
ance with ORS 308.232, 308.234,	Chapters 309, 310, 311, 312, and o	other laws requiring equity and uni-			
formity in the system of proper	y taxation.				
Wasco	County agrees to approx	oriate the budgeted dollars based on			
100 percent of the expanditures		in the amount of \$1,021,584			
•		00 percent is not appropriated, no grant			
· •	<u> </u>				
snan be made to the county for	the quarter in which the county i	is out of comphance.			
County designates:					
Tim Lynn	(541) 506-2512	timl@co.wasco.or.us			
Name	Telephone	E-mail Address			
as the county contact person for	this grant application.				
Signature of Chairperson or Jud	ge of Governing Body				
D O 1					
Date Signed					

IN THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE STATE OF OREGON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASCO

IN THE MATTER OF THE PROPERTY TAX)
PROGRAM GRANT DOCUMENT FOR) RESOLUTION #12-006
FISCAL YEAR 2012-2013.)

NOW ON THIS DAY, the above-entitled matter having come on regularly for consideration, said day being one duly set in term for the transaction of public business and a majority of the Board of Commissioners being present; and

WHEREAS, Wasco County is applying to the Department of Revenue in order to participate in the Assessment and Taxation Grant.

WHEREAS, this state grant provides funding for counties to help them come into compliance or remain in compliance with ORS 308.232, 308.234, Chapters 309, 310, 311, 312, and other laws requiring equity and uniformity in the system of property taxation.

WHEREAS, Wasco County has undertaken a self-assessment of its compliance with the laws and rules that govern the Oregon property tax system; and

WHEREAS, Wasco County is generally in compliance with ORS 308.232, 308.234, Chapters 309, 310, 311, 312, and other laws requiring equity and uniformity in the system of property taxation.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED: That the Property Tax

Grant Application attached hereto and incorporated herein has been reviewed by

the County Governing Body and constitutes the County's program to maintain and achieve compliance with the requirement of the Oregon Property Tax System; and

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER RESOLVED: That Wasco County agrees to appropriate the budgeted dollars based on 100 percent of the expenditures certified in the grant application in the amount of \$1,021,584.00 the total expenditure amount for consideration in the grant. If 100 percent is not appropriated, no grant shall be made to the County for the quarter in which the County is out of compliance; and

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER RESOLVED: That Wasco County designates Tim Lynn, Wasco County Assessor/Tax Collector, (541) 506-2512, E-mail address – timl@co.wasco.or.us, as the County contact person for this grant application.

DATED this 3^{rd} day of May, 2012

WASCO COUNTY BOARD
OF COMMISSIONERS

Rod Runyon, Chair of Commission

Sherry Holliday, Commissioner

Scott Hege, Commissioner

Consent Agenda Item Hearing for Disposition of Dog

• Order #12-006

IN THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE STATE OF OREGON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASCO

IN THE MATTER OF THE DISPOSITION)
OF THE GERMAN SHEPHERD MIX DOG) O R D E R
OWNED BY FERMIN CHEZ SANCHEZ) #12-0026

NOW ON THIS DAY, the above-entitled matter having come on regularly for consideration, said day being one duly set in term for the transaction of public business and a majority of the Wasco County Board of Commissioners being present; and

IT APPEARING TO THE BOARD: That pursuant to ORS 609.155 the Wasco County Sheriffs Office has notified this Board that a German Shepherd Mix dog owned by Fermin Chez Sanchez has killed livestock, specifically goats, and therefore is a public nuisance; and

IT FURTHER APPEARING TO THE BOARD: That ORS 609.156(2) allows a dog owner the right to request a hearing before the county governing body for the purposes of determining whether a dog has killed, wounded, injured or chased livestock; and

IT FURTHER APPEARING TO THE BOARD: That ORS 609.158 allows the county governing body to conduct a hearing to determine whether a dog has killed, wounded, injured or chased livestock and to order any remedial measures, civil penalties or other sanctions as they see fit.

Agenda Item Tipping Fee Waiver

• Request Letter



CITY OF THE DALLES Department of Public Works 1215 West First Street The Dalles, Oregon 97058

April 20, 2012

Wasco County Commission Chairman Rod Runyon 511 Washington Street, Suite 302 The Dalles OR 97508

Dear Mr. Runyon;

The City of The Dalles would like to request a waiver of fees from Wasco County for the tipping charge at the Wasco County Landfill during the 25th annual Community Clean Up which will be held May 5th, 2012.

If you have any questions or concerns, please contact me at 541-506-2004 or ckeever@ci.the-dalles.or.us.

Thank you for your consideration.

Chady Keever Cindy Keever

City of The Dalles

Community Clean Up Coordinator

EXECUTIVE SESSION

• No Documents for this session